



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,688	06/13/2001	Annemarie Poustka	POUSTKA-2	6614

20151 7590 11/12/2008
HENRY M FEIEREISEN, LLC
HENRY M FEIEREISEN
708 THIRD AVENUE
SUITE 1501
NEW YORK, NY 10017

EXAMINER

WESSENDORF, TERESA D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1639

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/12/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/880,688	Applicant(s) POUSTKA ET AL.	
	Examiner TERESA WESSENDORF	Art Unit 1639	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 August 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 54,56-60,66-71,75 and 77-86 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 68, 85 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 54,56-60,66,67,69-71,75,77-84 and 86 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/7/08 has been entered.

Status of the Claims

Claims 54, 56-60, 66-71, 75, 77-86 are currently pending.

Claims 68(drawn to cellulose species) and 85 are drawn to non-elected species and/or inventions and thus this claims remain withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), there being no allowable generic claim.

Claims 54, 56-60, 66-67, 69-71, 75, 77-84 and 86 are examined on the merits.

Withdrawn Objections/Rejections

In view of the amendments to the claims and applicants' arguments the 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph rejections are withdrawn.

New Matter Rejection

The method of claim 83 which uses the monomers in the form of "triboelectrically" charged transport units is not supported in the as-filed specification. MPEP 714.02 clearly states that applicants point out where in the specification support can be found for the new claim/limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

Claims 54, 56-60, 66-67, 69-71, 75, 77-84 and 86 as amended, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

1. Claim 75 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the step of mobilizing the monomers after immobilizing transport units and diffusing the monomers within the solvent. It is unclear that the unit remaining in the solid state is within the solvent.

2. Claim 1 is indefinite as to what constitute a "matrix" or "triboelectrically" charged transport units(claim 83), within the claimed context, especially in the absence of positive

Art Unit: 1639

definition in the specification. What is the differentiating feature of a matrix from the support?

3. The following terms "first", "suitable" and precise in claim 75 are relative terms which render the claim indefinite. These terms are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term "first" is unclear as there are no second, third and to the nth steps. It is not clear in what terms a solid support is suitable for the transports units. Likewise "precise" locations are unclear as to the manner the location is determined to be precise. The specification does not provide definitions for these terms. This rejection has similar import to claim 80 "suitable".

4. Non-sequitur for "the second solvent" in claim 77.

5. Claim 67 is unclear as to what would constitute "preliminary stages" of D or L amino acids. This rejection has similar import to claim 69. The reference to "first cycle of linking reactions" in claim 69 is indefinite as it lacks antecedent basis of support from claim 75, which does not positively recite which is a first cycle.

6. Claim 65 "such particles" lacks antecedent basis of support from the base claim 75 which does not recite particles.

Art Unit: 1639

7. Claim 71 is unclear as to the moving ("moved) made in reference thereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 1639

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 54, 56-60, 66-67, 69-71, 75, 77-82, 84 and 86, as amended, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Zebala (USP 6951682).

Zebala throughout the patent discloses at e.g., col. 4, lines 14-62:

....[M]ethods for making a coated article comprising a substrate and at least two separate porous coatings, comprising the steps of: (a) applying to a substrate a substantially uniform layer of a solution comprising metal oxide particles dispersed in a volatile liquid; (b) evaporating the volatile liquid from the layer, forming a gelled network of metal oxide particles on the substrate, wherein the gelled network forms a porous coating ranging from 0.05 to 25 microns thick; (c) covering the porous coating with a layer of photoresist comprising a base soluble component; (d) irradiating the photoresist, such that a first region of photoresist is rendered substantially removable with an aqueous alkaline developer, and such that a second region is not so removable; (e) contacting at least the first region with an aqueous alkaline developer to remove at least the first region of photoresist and porous coating underlying the first region, without substantially removing the second region of photoresist or porous coating underlying the second region; (f) removing remaining photoresist with an organic solvent,

Art Unit: 1639

resulting in separate porous coatings on discrete regions of the substrate; and (g) attaching one or more compounds to each of the separate porous coatings. The solution may further comprise extended polymers of a substantially hydrolyzed metal alkoxide linked to the metal oxide particles, wherein the weight ratio of metal oxide particles to the substantially hydrolyzed metal alkoxide ranges from 1 to 1000. Optionally, prior to the step of attaching two or more compounds, the porous coating is cured at a temperature and for a time sufficient to increase the porous coating strength

3: Zebala discloses at e.g., col.8, line 43 up to col. 29, line

A compound is said to be "attached" to a substrate surface if the compound substantially remains on the surface during photoresist application and removal (i.e., at least 60% of the attached compounds are not removed when such processes are performed as described). The percentage of compounds removed under particular conditions may be readily determined using labeled molecules, and monitoring the loss of label during photoresist application and removal. Attachment may be covalent or non-covalent. Noncovalent interactions that may be employed include, for example, electrostatic interactions..., and magnetism. In some embodiments, a mixture of covalent and noncovalent interactions may be used. Suitable magnetizing agents for use in a magnetic field include paramagnetic lanthanide ions such as erbium, dysprosium, holmium, thulium, and gadolinium..... Alternatively, micron-scale and smaller magnetic affinity particles may be used such as magnetite, and magnetic porous glass.....

"Gelled network" refers to an aggregation of particles linked together to form a porous three-dimensional network. Particles may be linked covalently or noncovalently through the use of a polymeric binder. Alternatively, particles may be linked covalently or noncovalently without the use of a binder, through interactions of chemical groups on the surface of the particles.... and photoinduced linkages using, for example, a bis-azide....The extent of linking sufficient to constitute a "gelled network" will be such that less than 20%, and more preferably less than 5%, of the network

Art Unit: 1639

is lost after contact with any process agent (e.g., irradiation, photoresist, developers, strippers and reagents). Accordingly, the extent of linking required will depend on the exact nature of the process agents. For example, photoresists that exhibit higher degrees of swelling will require gelled networks with higher degrees of linking so as to balance the forces of swelling and prevent physical disruption of the gelled network. The percent loss of the network after contact with process agents can be readily assessed using nitrogen adsorption isotherms and the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. The BET method allows the surface area of the gelled network to be accurately measured, and the percent change in surface area after contact with a process agent will be equivalent to the percent loss of the gelled network. Other methods for assessing the percent loss of the gelled network after contact with process agents will be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art.

"Hybridization" refers to the base-pairing or aggregation of one nucleobase polymer to another nucleobase polymer via complementary regions. The polymers may be, for example, DNA, PNA, morpholino-based nucleobase polymers and/or other nucleobase polymers. Such base-pairing or aggregation should be detectable using standard assays (e.g., detection of a marker linked to one nucleobase polymer). Whether or not a particular nucleobase polymer remains base-paired or aggregated with a target nucleobase polymer depends on the degree of complementarity, the length of the aggregated elements, and the stringency of the binding conditions. At a higher stringency, hybridization requires a higher degree of complementarity or length

"Irradiation" refers to the application of radiation to a target. The amount of irradiation depends on the desired result of the irradiation. In general, irradiation is sufficient to achieve a desired chemical modification on an irradiated molecule. For example, irradiation of a positive photoresist layer is sufficient to permit substantial removal of photoresist from irradiated regions.

"Mask" refers to a substantially transparent support material with substantially opaque regions in a precise pattern where it is desired that light be blocked when one side of the mask is illuminated. In some embodiments the

Art Unit: 1639

substantially opaque regions are derived through a photographic process using a photoplotting device (e.g., as in masks commonly used in printed circuit board manufacturing). In other embodiments the mask is derived from a substantially transparent support material coated with a substantially opaque material which is photoablated by a narrowly focused laser producing precisely defined transparent regions (e.g., chrome on glass masks).

"Photoresist" refers to a material that, upon irradiation, sustains a chemical reaction that allows irradiated and non-irradiated regions to be separated from one another. Although the separation may be simultaneous with the irradiation step (e.g., in laser ablation), it often requires an additional process step or steps (e.g., exposure to a developer). The chemical reaction may involve the formation or breakage of chemical bonds with such bond changes occurring in either an intramolecular or intermolecular fashion. In most applications, a photoresist is applied to a flat surface as a relatively thin liquid layer and evaporated. A "negative photoresist" refers to a photoresist that leaves photoresist on the surface in irradiated regions, while a "positive photoresist" refers to a photoresist that leaves photoresist on the surface in regions that were not irradiated.

As alternatives to the use of masks, other methods may be used to illuminate selected regions of photoresist. For example, the substrate may be translated under a modulated laser or diode light source (see Feyrer et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,719,615). In alternative embodiments, a laser galvanometric scanner may be utilized. In other embodiments, the irradiation of the photoresist may take place on or in contact with a fiber optic light source, or a liquid crystal. By appropriately modulating liquid crystals, light may be selectively controlled so as to permit light to contact selected regions of the photoresist. Such a liquid crystal is also referred to as a "programmable mask," or an integrated circuit spatial light modulator (ICSLM), manufactured by Displaytech (Boulder, Colo.). Alternatively, irradi... light will be directed to extremely small regions, being limited by diffraction to a size directly proportional to the wavelength of light. In order to mask illumination to regions smaller than a wavelength of light, more elaborate techniques may be

Art Unit: 1639

utilized. For example, light may be directed at the photoresist by way of molecular microcrystals on the tip of, for example, micropipettes. After the irradiating step is completed, the photoresist is contacted with developer. This results in the selective, substantial removal of photoresist, and underlying porous coating, from irradiated (positive photoresists) or non-irradiated (negative photoresists) regions, leaving only photoresist and porous coating in discrete regions (see FIG. 1C, illustrating the process for a positive photoresist). The developer is selected based upon the type of photoresist. For photoresists comprising a base soluble (e.g., phenolic polymer) component, the developer preferably has an alkaline pH, more preferably 9 to 12 pH units.... The rate of photoresist dissolution can be increased by increasing the pH or increasing the temperature, limited mainly by solubility considerations of remaining photoresist.... irradiated photoresist is contacted with developer at a temperature from 20.degree. C. to 30.degree. C., and most preferably at a temperature from 23.degree. C. to 27.degree. C., for sufficient time to effect substantial removal of desired regions of the irradiated photoresist and underlying porous coating.

In the absence of photoresist, the use of an alkaline developer results in no detectable dissolution of the porous coating. It was unexpectedly found, within the context of the present invention, that dissolution of irradiated photoresist results in the dissolution of the underlying porous coating. Although the actual mechanism is uncertain, it is known that the phenomenon is mitigated and even abolished by subjecting the porous coating to temperatures normally associated with high temperature curing. Presumably, the formation of oxane bonds during high temperature curing strengthens the porous coating against the putative forces that develop during the photopatterning process. Accordingly, it is necessary to avoid prolonged exposure to temperatures greater than about 100.degree. C. until after the porous coating is patterned.

For photoresists not comprising a phenolic polymer, other developers (e.g., etchants) may be used to arrive at a patterned porous coating disclosed herein..... Suitable etchants for use in combination with alternative photoresists will be familiar to those skilled in the art...

Art Unit: 1639

After treatment with developer, remaining photoresist is removed by contact with a stripping solution. The stripping solution is generally an organic solvent that selectively dissolves the photoresist, leaving only the patterned porous coating. In embodiments employing a phenolic polymer, the stripping solution may be, for example, a isopropanol,acetate, or any of a wide number of organic solvents well known in the art....

In some embodiments, each Gn group is selected from 1 of 10 different compositions. By forming every Gn combination, 10.sup.4 or 10,000 analogues are synthesized in a total of 40 cycles. Accordingly, it will be appreciated by those of skill in the art that the above method can be used for the parallel production of supports bearing thousands or millions of drug candidates and other compounds using barrier layers and the photolithographic techniques disclosed herein.

The broadly claimed method using broad steps and components in the method is fully met by the process of Zebala.

MPEP714.02 states that a 102/103 rejection is proper when a claim is subject to several interpretations.

Claims 56-60 and 78-79 drawn to the claim temperature would be obvious in view of the disclosure of Zavala that the temperature should be less than 100.

Claim 83 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zebala et al in view of Suzuki (5581337).

Art Unit: 1639

Zebala is discussed above. Zebala does not disclose a method wherein the monomers are in the form of triboelectrically charged transport units.

Suzuki discloses at e.g., col.

... In the image forming apparatus o, triboelectrical charging can be conducted on developer in a good condition, and a toner image of high quality can be formed on the photoreceptor drum . Accordingly, an image of high quality can be formed on a recording medium.

Accordingly, to use a triboelectrical charge of monomers would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. The motivation is that the triboelectrical produce high quality product. There is a reasonable expectation of success since triboelectrical charge has been successfully uses in the art as taught by Suzuki, supra.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TERESA WESSENDORF whose telephone number is (571)272-0812. The examiner can normally be reached on flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Schultz can be reached on 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 1639

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TERESA WESSENDORF/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1639